## Lecture 22

Theorem [Second Isomorphism Theorem]  
If 
$$K \leq G$$
 and  $N \triangleleft G$ , then  $\frac{K}{K \cap N} \simeq \frac{K N}{N}$ .

Proof First note that 
$$j \in K \leq G$$
 and  $N \leq G$   
=D  $KN \leq G$ .  $KN \neq \phi$  as  $e \in KN$ .  
Let  $Q = R_1 n_1 \in KN$  for  $R_1 \in K$ ,  $n_1 \in N$ .  
 $b = R_2 n_2 \in KN$  for  $R_2 \in K$ ,  $n_2 \in N$ 

Then 
$$ab^{-1} = k_1n_1 (k_2n_2)^{-1} = k_1n_1n_2^{-1}k_2^{-1}$$
  
 $= k_1n_3k_2^{-1}$   
 $= k_1k_2^{-1}k_2n_3k_2^{-1}$   
 $= k_3k_2n_3k_2^{-1}$   
But since  $N < I G = P \quad k_2n_3h_2^{-1} \in N$  by the  
normal subgroup test. So  
 $ab^{-1} = k_3n_4 \in KN = P \quad KN \leq G_1$ .  
Also,  $N < KN$ . Let  $k_1n_1 \in KN$ . Then  
 $k_1n_1 N = k_1N = O$   
also, since  $N < I G$  and  $k_1 \in G$  top  $\Rightarrow k_1N = Nk_1$   
 $\Rightarrow k_1n_1 = n_2k_1$  for some  $n_2, n_3 \in N$   
 $\Rightarrow Nk_1n_1 = Nn_2k_1 = Nk_1 = O$   
dince  $N < G = D \quad Nk_1 = k_1N = O$  from  $O$  and  
 $\textcircled{O}$  we get that  $k_1n_1N = Nk_1n_1$ 

= 
$$P N K K N$$
.  
Thus  $\frac{K N}{N}$  is a group.  
 $N$ 

To prove 
$$\frac{K}{KON} \cong \frac{KN}{N}$$
, we'll use

$$\frac{P_{\text{ninciple 3}}}{H} := \text{When vers you want to show }$$
  
that  $\frac{G}{H} \cong \overline{G}$ , try to find a swjective  $H$ 

homomorphism y: G → G and show that kery = H and then apply the first Isomor--phism Theorem.

So, define 
$$g: k \longrightarrow \frac{KN}{N}$$
 by  
 $g(R) = RN$   
 $g(R)$ 

for any 
$$k_1 n_1 N \in \frac{KN}{N}$$
,  $k_1 n_1 N = k_1 N$  and  
so for  $k_1 \in K$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(R_1) = k_1 N$ .  
 $\mathcal{G}$  is a homomorphism  
Let  $k_1 k_2 \in K$ . Then  
 $\mathcal{G}(k_1 \cdot k_2) = k_1 R_2 N = k_1 N k_2 N = \mathcal{G}(k_1) \mathcal{G}(k_2)$   
So,  $\mathcal{G}$  is a homomorphism.

What is 
$$\operatorname{Ren} \mathcal{G}$$
?  
 $\operatorname{Ren} \mathcal{G} = \{ R \in \mathbb{N} \mid \mathcal{G}(R) = \mathbb{N} \}$  as N is the  
identity in  $\frac{KN}{N}$ .

SO,  $\mathcal{G}(R) = RN = N = D \quad R \in N$ . But  $R \in K$ SO,  $R \in K \cap N = D \quad Ren(\mathcal{G}) = K \cap N$ Omch by the First Isomorphism Theorem (FIT)

$$\frac{k}{KON} \cong \frac{KN}{N}$$
  
Theorem [Third Isomorphism Theorem]
  
If M J G, N J G and M  $\leq N$ , then
  

$$\frac{G}{M} \cong \frac{G}{N}$$
  
i.e., G/M is a group as M  $\leq G$ , G is a
  
group as N  $\leq G$ . Since  $M \leq N \Rightarrow M \leq N \Rightarrow$ 
  
N/M is also a group. Finally,  $\frac{N}{M} \leq \frac{G}{M} \Rightarrow$ 
  
 $\frac{G/M}{N/M}$  is also a group. The theorem says
  
the groups  $\frac{G/M}{N/M} \cong \frac{G}{N}$ .

## Finally, let's see one more application of FIT.

<u>Theorem [Correspondence Theorem]</u> Let  $\mathcal{G}: G \to \overline{G}$  be a surjective homomorphism. Consider the set  $S = \{H \in G_n\}$  ker  $\mathcal{G} \leq H \{$ which is the set of all those subgroups in Gwhich contain ker  $\mathcal{G}$ . Consider the set  $T = \{\overline{H} \leq \overline{G} \}$  which is the set of subgroups of  $\overline{G}$ . Then

 There is a bijection y b/w S and T.
 2) If H∈S, i.e., H≤G and Reng≤H, then [G:H] = [G:Y(H)]

So, the theorem is saying that every subgroup of G which contains kerg corresponds to a unique subgroup of G and vice-versa, every subgroup of G corresponds to a subgroup of G which contains key g. So, the subgroup structure of G is same as the structure of subgroups of G containing key g.

Proof We'll construct a bijection b/ws S and T. Recall that if  $\mathcal{G}: G \to \overline{G}$  is a homomorphism, then  $\mathcal{G}(H) \leq \overline{G}$  for any  $H \leq \overline{G}$ . Define  $\mathcal{V}: S \longrightarrow T$  by  $\mathcal{V}(H) = \mathcal{G}(H)$ i.e., take any subgroup in  $\overline{G}$  and map it to its homomorphice image in  $\overline{G}$ . Define  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}: T \longrightarrow S$  by  $\overline{\mathcal{V}}(\overline{H}) = \mathcal{G}^{-1}(\overline{H})$ 

i.e., take any subgroup of G and map it to the inverse image of H under y. (Recall that  $g^{-1}(\overline{H}) \leq G_1$ . We want to show that y and y are inver--ses of each other and y.y. = Id\_ and Troy = Idg. But even before that, why should \$\vec{V}(\vec{H})\$ lie en S, i.e, why should g<sup>-1</sup>(H) contain Ren y? Well,  $q^{-1}(\overline{H}) = \{g \in G \mid g(g) \in \overline{H} \}$ since,  $\vec{e} \in \vec{H} = \vec{P}$  Ren  $\vec{\varphi} = \{\vec{g} \in G \mid \vec{\varphi}(\vec{q}) = \vec{e} \in \vec{H}\}$ is contained in y-'(H) and hence  $\overline{\psi}(H) \in S$ . Proof of D  $\underline{\text{Claim L}} \quad \Psi \cdot \overline{\Psi} = \text{Id}_{T}$ Let  $\overline{H} \in \overline{G} = \overline{V} \quad \overline{\Psi}(\overline{H}) = 9^{-1}(\overline{H})$  $\Psi \circ \overline{\Psi}(H) = \Psi(\varphi^{-1}(\overline{H})).$ 

Note that, we cannot write  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}^{-1}(\overline{H}))=\overline{H}$ as for example if  $\mathcal{G} \to \overline{\mathcal{G}}$  is the trivial homomorphism then  $g'(\overline{H}) = G$  and  $g(g'(\overline{H}))$ =  $\mathcal{G}(G) = \overline{\mathcal{E}} \neq \overline{H}$ . In fact, this is the reason. we are taking S= > H=G, kerp < H> as you'll see that a complication like just described mon't occur je me choose subgroups from S. Want:  $-\nabla \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(\overline{H})) = \overline{H}$ . Let heH. Lince g is surjective => => => geG s.t.  $\varphi(q) = \overline{h} = \varphi \quad g \in \varphi'(\overline{H}) \text{ and}$  $g(q) = h \in g(\varphi^{-1}(\overline{H})) \Rightarrow \overline{H} \subseteq g(\varphi^{-1}(\overline{H})).$ Conversely, if h ∈ 𝔅(𝔅<sup>-1</sup>(Ħ) =  $P = g \in g^{-1}(\overline{H})$  so to  $h = \psi(g)$ If  $g \in g^{-1}(\overline{H}) = \mathcal{D} \quad g(g) \in \overline{H} = \mathcal{D} \quad h \in H$ 

Thus Claim 1 is true.

Similarly, one can prove that  $\overline{\Psi} \circ \Psi = \operatorname{Ids}$  and hence  $\overline{F}$  a bijection b/w S and T. This proves 1) of the Theorem. Now we'll prove part 2) i.e., if  $H \in S$ , then  $[G:H] = [\overline{G}: \Psi(H)]$ .

It's enough to construct a bijection b/w the set of left cosets of H ei G and the set of left cosets of Y(H) in G. Define ₹: {gH : g ∈ G, H ∈ S{ → {gH | H ∈ T}} by ₹(gH) = g(g)g(H)

Recall Principle 2 :- we must check that the map I is well-defined as the domain is the set of cosets.

=12	$\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{d}^{\mathcal{I}},\mathfrak{d}^{\mathcal{I}})\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$
=D Hence	$\mathcal{G}(q_1)\mathcal{G}(H) = \mathcal{G}(q_2)\mathcal{G}(H)$ $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}(q_1H) = \overline{\mathfrak{a}}(q_2H) = \mathcal{D}$ $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}$ is well-defined.
n is	one-one
het	$\Xi(g,H) = \Xi(g_{2}H)$
Ð	$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{d}')\mathcal{G}(H) = \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{d}')\mathcal{G}(H)$
=D	$\mathcal{B}(3^{2},3^{2})\mathcal{B}(H) = \mathcal{B}(H)$

So, let 
$$g_1 H = g_2 H = \mathcal{P} \quad g_2^{-l} g_1 \in H$$
.  
Now  $\overline{\mathfrak{z}}(g_1 H) = \mathfrak{P}(g_1) \mathfrak{P}(H)$   
 $\overline{\mathfrak{z}}(g_2 H) = \mathfrak{P}(g_2) \mathfrak{P}(H)$   
now  $\mathfrak{P}(g_2^{-1} g_1) = \mathfrak{P}(g_2)^{-1} \mathfrak{P}(g_1) \quad [ao \ \mathfrak{P} \ is a homomorphism]$   
But  $\mathfrak{Y} \quad g_2^{-1} g_1 \in H = \mathcal{P}$   
 $\mathfrak{P}(g_2^{-1} g_1) \in \mathfrak{P}(H)$ 

= 
$$p(q_1^{-1}q_1) \in Q(H)$$
  
=  $q_2^{-1}q_1 \in H = p \quad q_2H = q_1H \text{ and } f \text{ is one-one.}$   
 $\overline{a} \text{ is onto}.$   
Let  $\overline{g}q(H)$  be a coset of  $P(H)$ . Since  
 $q$  is subjective =  $p = g \in G \text{ st. } g(q) = \overline{g}.$   
=  $\overline{a}(gH) = P(q)P(H) = \overline{g}P(H).$   
=  $\overline{a} \text{ is onto.}$   
Thus  $\overline{a}$  is a bijection and hence we prove

part 2).

## **[**]

I know that this is neither the easiest nor the best proofs to see, so I do not expect you to learn this. However, it's important to understand the content of the theorem. Recalls that if  $\mathcal{G}: G \to \overline{G}$  is an isomorphism then  $\operatorname{Rer} \mathcal{G} = \overline{\{e\}}$  and hence any  $H \leq G$  conta--ins  $\operatorname{Rer} \mathcal{G}$ . So as a constitution of the correspon--chence theorem, we see that

Also, recall that if NAG, then  $N = \ker g$ where  $g: G \longrightarrow \frac{G}{N}$  is the natural homomorph--ism from  $G \longrightarrow \frac{G}{N}$ . Thus, the correspondence theorem gives

$$\frac{\text{Corrollary 2}}{\text{N}} \quad \text{Let } N \triangleleft G \text{ and } \mathcal{G} : G \longrightarrow G \text{ be the } N$$
natural homomorphism. Then